

No. 5:18-CR-00452-FL-2

ORDER

Defendant represents that her trial should be continued from its present setting to a date following conclusion of both co-defendant Leonid Teyf's trials. She points to the government's

request that her trial be placed after co-defendant Leonid Teyf, a request in which she joined. She represents that, since the court ordered the defendants' trials be severed, defense counsel have prepared "in a manner that has expected that [Leonid's] trials would take place prior to [hers.]" Defendant further represents to the court that, by preserving the order of trials, she would accordingly have access to the evidence and witnesses offered by co-defendant Leonid Teyf in his trials, which she may not have otherwise.

The court construes defendant's response to its order as a motion to continue trial. For good cause shown, the motion is GRANTED. This defendant's trial, presently scheduled to commence December 7, 2020, now is CONTINUED. Immediately following verdict in the second and final of co-defendant Leonid Teyf's trials, the government is DIRECTED to confer with this defendant and, within seven days of said verdict, file a joint status report identifying alternative dates for trial in the case if this defendant. Should conference with the court be requested in lieu of filing of that report, you shall notify the court by that deadline of the same.

The court finds the ends of justice served by this continuance outweighs the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Accordingly, the resulting delay from this continuance is excluded from speedy trial computation.

SO ORDERED, this the 3rd day of September, 2020.


LOUISE W. FLANAGAN
United States District Judge